PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Attn. Abelev, Gary 250 Park Avenue New York, NY 101:7 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Patent Mail Received
OCT 14 2008

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

09/10/2008

Applicant's or agent's file reference

188684/PCT

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraphs 1 and 4 below

International application No.

PCT/US2007/081982

International filing date (day/month/year)

19/10/2007

Applicant

THE GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION

1. X	The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.
------	--

Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):

When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report.

Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 338.82.70

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

∵ └─┤ '.'	he applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under
Ar	rticle 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith

3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:

		the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the
_	_	applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
L		no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. Reminders

Shortly after the expiration of **18 months** from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90*bis*.1 and 90*bis*.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk

Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Lars-Oliver Römich

Form PCT/ISA/220 (October 2005)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims,description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, Annexes B1 and B2).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the International Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1–10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Searching Authority will, except in certain cases where the International Preliminary Examining Authority did not act as International Searching Authority and where it has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b), be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a demand is made, the applicant may submit to the International Preliminary Examining Authority a reply to the written opinion together, where appropriate, with amendments before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 43bis.1(c)).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220
188684/PCT		as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US2007/081982	19/10/2007	19/10/2006
Applicant		
THE GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPO	ORATION	
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	prepared by this International Searching Authoransmitted to the International Bureau.	ority and is transmitted to the applicant
This international search report consists of	of a total of 6 sheets	
l —	a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.
Basis of the report		
	international search was carried out on the bas	sis of:
X the international a	application in the language in which it was filed	
a translation of the of a translation full	e international application into rnished for the purposes of international search	, which is the language (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
b. This international search rauthorized by or notified to	report has been established taking into accoun o this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43.6 <i>bis</i> (a))	t the rectification of an obvious mistake).
c. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box No. II)	
3. X Unity of invention is lack	king (see Box No III)	
4. With regard to the title,		
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant	
the text has been establish	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	
5. With regard to the abstract,		·
X the text is approved as sul	bmitted by the applicant	
the text has been establish	ned, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority m the date of mailing of this international searc	y as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant h report, submit comments to this Authority
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
6. With regard to the drawings ,		
	ublished with the abstract is Figure No9	 -
X as suggested by the	• •	
F	s Authority, because the applicant failed to sugg	
	Authority, because this figure better character	izes the invention
b none of the figures is to be	published with the abstract	

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. A61B5/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) $A61B\,$

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	US 6 538 817 B1 (FARMER JASON N [US] ET AL) 25 March 2003 (2003-03-25) claims 8,19; figure 2	1,3,6,18
X	GELIKONOV V M ET AL: "Two-wavelength optical coherence tomography" RADIOPHYSICS AND QUANTUM ELECTRONICS, KLUWER ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS-CONSULTANTS BUREAU, NE, vol. 47, no. 10-11, 1 October 2004 (2004-10-01), pages 848-859, XP019290800 ISSN: 1573-9120 page 851, lines 8,9 page 857, lines 3-5 figure 1 page 856 - page 858	1,2,4-7,

<u></u>	
X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	X See patent family annex.
 Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search 11 July 2008	Date of mailing of the international search report $09/10/2008$
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Worms, Georg

		PCT/US2007/081982
C(Continua	tion). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	p*************************************
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2006/146339 A1 (FUJITA HIROSHI [JP]) 6 July 2006 (2006-07-06) paragraph [0044] figures 1,4	1,2,6,7, 18
X	US 6 501 551 B1 (TEARNEY GUILLERMO [US] ET AL) 31 December 2002 (2002-12-31) column 15, lines 23-27 figure 16	1,6,7,18
X	US 2006/103850 A1 (ALPHONSE GERARD A [US] ET AL) 18 May 2006 (2006-05-18) figure 15	1,3,6,18
3		

International application No. PCT/US2007/081982

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
see additional sheet
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search reportcovers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7,18
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-7,18

directed to an apparatus and process for providing imaging information comprising three different arrangements which (i) provide several electro-magnetic radiations each at a different wavelength, (ii) obtain a relative phase between two radiations, and (iii) provide information about the irradiated portion

2. claims: 8-14

directed to an apparatus for obtaining information comprising a fiber which guides one electro-magnetic radiation for irradiation and a second radiation for modifying the property of the irradiated area

3. claims: 15-17

directed to an apparatus for obtaining information for a structure comprising a dispersive arrangement to receive a plurality of electro-magnetic radiations and forward this dispersed radiation onto a sample

Information on patent family members

International application No PCT/US2007/081982

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 6538817	B1	25-03-2003	NONE	
US 2006146339	A1	06-07-2006	AT 365905 T CN 1785122 A DE 602005001494 T2 EP 1666838 A1 JP 2006162366 A	15-07-2007 14-06-2006 28-02-2008 07-06-2006 22-06-2006
US 6501551	B1	31-12-2002	US 6134003 A	17-10-2000
US 2006103850	A1	18-05-2006	NONE	

PAIENI COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:				PCT		
	see form	PCT/ISA/220			VRITTEN OPINION OF ATIONAL SEARCHING (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	AUTHORITY
				Date of mailing	g <i>ar)</i> see form PCT/ISA/210 (secon	d sheet)
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURT See paragraph	HER ACTION n 2 below	
	national application I Γ/US2007/08198		International filing date (d	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/) 19.10.2006	vear)
	national Patent Class . A61B5/00	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC		
Appl THE	icant E GENERAL HO	SPITAL CORF	PORATION			
1.	This opinion co ☐ Box No. I ☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o Reasoned stat	ment of opinion with rega f invention	ard to novelty, i :.1(a)(i) with reg	nventive step and industrial ap gard to novelty, inventive step c ch statement	
			s in the international app			
2.			ations on the internation	al application		
	written opinion of the applicant cho	f the Internation loses an Author eau under Rule	al Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to	Authority ("IP be the IPEA a	ion will usually be considered to EA") except that this does not a nd the chosen IPEA has notifed International Searching Authori	apply where d the
	submit to the IPE	A a written repl mailing of Form	y together, where appro	priate, with am	of the IPEA, the applicant is invendments, before the expiration f 22 months from the priority da	n of 3 months
	For further option					
3.	For further details	s, see notes to f	Form PCT/ISA/220.			
Name	e and mailing addres	s of the ISA:	Date of co	mpletion of	Authorized Officer	

Date of completion of this opinion

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentla age form NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

PCT/ISA/210

Worms, Georg

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2161



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2007/081982

_	Вс	ox N	o. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	Wi	ith re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	\boxtimes	th	e international application in the language in which it was filed		
			translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the irposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).		
2.		Tł by	nis opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))		
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. :	type	of material:		
			a sequence listing		
			table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. 1	form	at of material:		
-			on paper		
			in electronic form		
	c. t	time	of filing/furnishing:		
			contained in the international application as filed.		
			filed together with the international application in electronic form.		
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
4.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.		

5. Additional comments:

	x No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial plicability
	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of
	the entire international application
Ĭ	claims Nos. 8-17
bec	cause:
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search <i>(specify)</i> :
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :
☒ -	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. <u>8-17</u>
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
	☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	☐ furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 <i>ter</i> .1(a) or (b).
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details

_	Во	x No. IV	Lack of unity of in	ventio	1							
1.	\boxtimes	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:										
			paid additional fees									
	\square paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee											
		paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid										
		\boxtimes	not paid additional fee	es								
2.		☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.										
3.	Thi	s Author	ity considers that the r	equirer	ment of un	ity of inven	ntion in acco	ordance wit	h Rule 13.	1, 13.2 and 1	3.3 is	
	П	complied	· 1 with									
	complied with											
	☐ not complied with for the following reasons:											
	<u>see separate sheet</u>											
4.	Cor	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:										
		all parts.										
		the parts	relating to claims Nos	s. <u>1-7,1</u>	<u>8</u>							
•		c No. V ustrial a	Reasoned stateme						ty, invent	ive step or		
1.	Sta	tement										
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-7,18</u>						
	Inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-7,18</u>						
	Indu	ustrial ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-7,18</u>						
2.	Cita	itions an	d explanations									

Form PCT/ISA/237 (April 2007)

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2007/081982

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item IV.

1. This Authority considers that there are two inventions covered by the claims indicated as follows:

I: Claims 1-7,18:

directed to an apparatus and process for providing imaging information comprising three different arrangements which (i) provide several electro-magnetic radiations each at a different wavelength, (ii) obtain a relative phase between two radiations, and (iii) provide information about the irradiated portion.

II: Claims 8-14:

directed to an apparatus for obtaining information comprising a fiber which guides one electro-magnetic radiation for irradiation and a second radiation for modifying the property of the irradiated area.

III: Claims 15-17:

directed to an apparatus for obtaining information for a structure comprising a dispersive arrangement to receive a plurality of electro-magnetic radiations and forward this dispersed radiation onto a sample.

- 2. The reasons for which the inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, as required by Rule 13.1 PCT, are as follows:
- 2.1 Claims 1 and 6 disclose an apparatus for providing imaging information of a sample using three different arrangements which (i) provide several electromagnetic radiations each at a different wavelength, (ii) obtain a relative phase between two radiations, and (iii) provide information about the irradiated portion. Claim 18 discloses the respective process. These claims are considered to be unitary and form the first invention.
- 2.2 Claim 8 does not include any of the features of claims 1, 6, and 18. Vice versa, the fiber disclosed in claim 8 is not mentioned in any of the independent claims 1, 6, and 18. Claim 15 does not include any of the features of claims 1, 6, 8, and 18 nor is the dispersive arrangement of claim 15 mentioned in any other independent claim. As there is no common feature between the three groups of claims there is no common single general inventive concept and therefore, these claims are considered to be not unitary.

Re Item V.

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 6 538 817 B1

D2: XP 19 290 800

D3: US 2006 146 339 A1

D4: US 6 501 551 B1

D5: US 2006 103 850 A1

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-7, and 18 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 Independent claims 1, 6, and 18 are directed (see also **Re Item VIII.** paragraph 1.) to an illumination system providing pictures of the illuminated area. With reference to **claim 1** document **D1** discloses:

An apparatus for providing imaging information associated with at least one portion of a sample, comprising:

at least one first arrangement configured to provide (i) at least two first different wavelengths of at least one first electro-magnetic radiation within a first wavelength range provided on the portion of the sample so as to determine at least one first transverse location of the portion, and (ii) at least two second different wavelengths of at least one second electro-magnetic radiation within a second wavelength range provided on the portion so as to determine at least one second transverse location of the portion, wherein the first and second ranges at least partially overlap on the portion (claim 19); at least one second arrangement configured to obtain a relative phase between at least one third electro-magnetic radiation electro-magnetic radiation being returned from the sample and at least one fourth electro-magnetic radiation returned from a reference to determine a relative depth location of the portion (claim 8); and

at least one third arrangement configured to provide first information of the portion based on the first transverse location and the relative depth location, and second information of the portion based on the second transverse location and the relative depth location, wherein the imaging information includes the first and second information (claim 8).

2.2 The same arguments of paragraph 2.1 apply, mutatis mutandis, for the subject-

- matter of **claim 6** (see also **Re Item VIII.** paragraph 2.), which therefore is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 2.3 Document **D1** describes also a method regarding the independent claim 1. Hence, the same arguments of paragraph 2.1 apply, mutatis mutandis, for the subject-matter of **claim 18**, which therefore is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 2.4 The subject-matter of **claims 1, 6** and **18** is also disclosed in documents **D2-D5** (cf. passages cited in the Search Report).
- 2.5 The additional features of dependent claims 2, 3-5, and 7 are disclosed in D1-D5

claim 2: D2: fig.1, p. 851, lines 8, 9; D3: fig. 1, refs. 65, I, II, III;

claim 3: D1: fig. 2; D2: fig. 1; D5: fig. 15

claim 4: D2: p. 857, lines 3-5

claim 5: D2: fig.1, p. 851, lines 8, 9

claim 7: D2: chapter 4.; D3: §44; D4: col. 15, lines 23-27

Re Item VIII.

1. The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1-7 and 18 are not clear. The claims are drafted in terms of vague statements such as "first, second, third arrangement" and "first, second information". It is not clear what these arrangements and the informations entail.

In view of the description independent claims 1, 6, and 18 are interpreted as illumination systems using more than one illuminating wavelength.

2. The various definitions of the invention given in independent claims 1, 6, and 18 are such that the claims as a whole are not concise. Claim 1 includes all the features of claim 6. Hence, claim 1 should be reformulated as a claim dependent on claim 6 (cf. Rule 6.4(a) PCT and Guidelines 5.16)

Consequently, there is an uncertainty about the real subject-matter for which the protection is sought and therefore the requirements of Article 6 PCT are not met.

Possible steps after receipt of the international search report (ISR) and written opinion of the International Searching Authority (WO-ISA)

General information

For all international applications filed on or after 01/01/2004 the competent ISA will establish an ISR. It is accompanied by the WO-ISA. Unlike the former written opinion of the IPEA (Rule 66.2 PCT), the WO-ISA is not meant to be responded to, but to be taken into consideration for further procedural steps. This document explains about the possibilities.

under Art. 19 PCT

Amending claims Within 2 months after the date of mailing of the ISR and the WO-ISA the applicant may file amended claims under Art. 19 PCT directly with the International Bureau of WIPO. The PCT reform of 2004 did not change this procedure. For further information please see Rule 46 PCT as well as form PCT/ISA/220 and the corresponding Notes to form PCT/ISA/220.

Filing a demand for international preliminary examination

In principle, the WO-ISA will be considered as the written opinion of the IPEA. This should, in many cases, make it unnecessary to file a demand for international preliminary examination. If the applicant nevertheless wishes to file a demand this must be done before expiry of 3 months after the date of mailing of the ISR/WO-ISA or 22 months after priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 54bis PCT). Amendments under Art. 34 PCT can be filed with the IPEA as before, normally at the same time as filing the demand (Rule 66.1 (b) PCT).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is filed and no comments/amendments have been received the WO-ISA will be transformed by the IPEA into an IPRP (International Preliminary Report on Patentability) which would merely reflect the content of the WO-ISA. The demand can still be withdrawn (Art. 37 PCT).

Filing informal comments

After receipt of the ISR/WO-ISA the applicant may file informal comments on the WO-ISA directly with the International Bureau of WIPO. These will be communicated to the designated Offices together with the IPRP (International Preliminary Report on Patentability) at 30 months from the priority date. Please also refer to the next box.

End of the international phase

At the end of the international phase the International Bureau of WIPO will transform the WO-ISA or, if a demand was filed, the written opinion of the IPEA into the IPRP, which will then be transmitted together with possible informal comments to the designated Offices. The IPRP replaces the former IPER (international preliminary examination report).

Relevant PCT Rules and more information

Rule 43 PCT, Rule 43bis PCT, Rule 44 PCT, Rule 44bis PCT, PCT Newsletter 12/2003, OJ 11/2003, OJ 12/2003